

# Spatial Technologies, Standards and Interoperability for the Urban Environment; where are we now?

Name: Scott Simmons

Title: Executive Director Standards Program

Organisation: OGC

Email: ssimmons@opengeospatial.org







- Something from me
- MOST IMPORTANT: the next 12 speakers!





### What is OGC's interest?

- OGC enables the Power of Location
- Honest broker amongst stakeholders
- Long history with interoperability





- Why do we need standards?
- Who are the stakeholders?
- How do the stakeholders drive standards?
- What standards are available?





### Modern times call for modern tools





August 31, 2018

#### Use of Deep Learning to Examine the Association of the Built Environment With Prevalence of Neighborhood Adult Obesity

Adyasha Maharana, MS<sup>1</sup>; Elaine Okanyene Nsoesie, PhD<sup>2</sup>

» Author Affiliations | Article Information

JAMA Network Open. 2018;1(4):e181535. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.1535



## Why do we need standards?





#### To provide consistency









### To provide context



Sylvain Pedneault: CC-BY SA 3.0



### To satisfy our citizens





#### To excite visitors





Copyright © 2015 Open Geospatial Consortium



#### To enable business





## Who are the stakeholders?





#### City management



City of Los Angeles, CA USA





#### City service providers





Citizens



Singapore National Parks





#### Engage your citizens



OGC Making location count.



#### Businesses





Zairon CC BY-SA 4.0



## How do stakeholders drive standards?





## Not optimal

- Historical fire fighting in the US and its lingering legacy
  - Started as volunteer organizations, often part of the social setting of neighborhood
  - Insurance companies began selling "fire insurance marks" to indicate which houses had insurance and pays responders
  - This leads to a business opportunity cities get multiple fire companies who respond for profit – sometimes they fight over the job (see "Gangs of New York")
  - Fire companies begin installing hydrants with specific connectors to their hoses, specifically non-standardized to keep other companies away
  - 1853: Cincinnati, Ohio starts the first city-wide municipal fire department and develops a standard for connectors based on one of the local patterns
  - Even today, most cities have standard connectors only for their city or parts of the city, few share the standards across boundaries



#### **Thread Charts**

The following charts list many commonly used threads. Red Head Brass manufactures products with any thread required. In fact, our special thread codes number in excess of 2,200 different thread configurations. Call Red Head Customer Service for assistance.

#### **Commonly Used Threads**

Note: NPT threads are not available on female swivels.

	NATIONAL STANDARD NH (NST)		STRAIGHT IRON PIPE NPSH (IPT)		GARDEN HOSE GHT		BRITISH STD PIPE BSP		NEW YORK CORP NYC		NEW YORK CITY FD FDNY		PACIFIC COAST PCT		CHICAGO HOSE		CHICAGO FIRE DEPT CFD		NAVY HOSE	
SIZE	ODM	TPI	ODM	TPI	ODM	TPI			ODM	TPI	ODM	TPI	ODM	TPI	ODM	TPI	ODM	TPI	ODM	TPI
3/4"	1.375	8	1.035	14	1.062	11.5	1.041	14					1.062	11	1.081	11.5				
1"	1.375	8	1.295	11.5			1.309	11			1.660	8	1.312	11.5	1.295	11.5				
1½"	1.990	9	1.878	11.5			1.882	11	2.093	11	2.100	8	2.100	11	1.946	11.5	1.933	11.5		
2"	2.515	8	2.352	11.5			2.347	11	2.547	11	2.530	8	2.550	10	2.522	8				
2½"	3.068	7.5	2.841	8			2.960	11	3.000	8	3.030	8	3.035	7.5	3.043	7	2.990	7.5		
3"	3.623	6	3.470	8			3.460	11			3.630	8								
3½"	4.243	6	3.970	8			3.950	11			4.070	8					4.052	8		
4"	5.010	4	4.470	8			4.450	11			4.610	8	4.828	6			5.011	4	4.908	6
4½"	5.760	4	4.970	8							5.800	4								
5"	6.260	4					5.450	11			6.260	4								

Abbreviation for Tapered Iron Pipe Thread is NPT (National Pipe Tapered) or TIPT. NPT threads are not available on female swi Standard threads for Red Head are National Standard (NH or NST), Straight Iron Pipe (NPSH, IPT or SIPT), Garden Hose (GHT)

6.450 11

OTHER U.S. STANDARD 2/2" HOSE THREADS					
CITY	IDM	TPI			
Buffalo, NY	3.0625	8			
Cincinnati, OH (New)	3.0580	6			
Cleveland, OH	3.0781	8			
Denver, CO	3.0920	8			
Detroit, MI	3.1250	7.5			
Omaha, NE	3.0781	8			
Phoenix, AZ (Old)	3.0620	6			
Pittsburgh, PA	3.0625	6			
Salt Lake City, UT	3.2500	6			
Toledo, OH	3.0000	8			

7.025 4

6"

OTHER CANADIAL STANDARD 2 2" HOSE THREADS							
		ODM	TI				
AMA	Alberta Mutual Aid	2.990	8				
BCT	British Columbia	3.000	8				
CSA	Canadian Standards Assoc.	3.125	E				
QST	Province of Quebec Std.	3.031	7				
WCT	Western Canada Fire Under	3.250	e				
NOVA	Nova Scotia- Zone 1	3.234	5				
QMT	Quebec/Montreal Combination	3.031	7				

7.025 4



#### http://www.redheadbrass.com



#### By going about their business





- Example: The OGC Indian Plugfest was developed to prove the value of the standardization efforts of NSDI at the Dept of Science and Technology for their Smart Cities Mission.
  - To create an **environment for Public Private Partnership** (PPP) for applying the benefits of OGC standards.
  - To actively test the ability and governance for seamless exchange of information among various stakeholders using different technology platforms in support of the Smart Cities Mission.
  - To learn from local industry participants' experiences and knowledge in collaboration.
  - To identify areas of improvement in the content and use of specific OGC standards.







### Join standards organizations







## Standards Coordination for Smart Cities

- ISO/IEC JTC 1/SG 1 Smart Cities
- ISO TMB Task Force on Smart Cities
- ITU Focus Group on Smart Sustainable Cities
- ISO ISO/TC 268 Sustainable development and resilience of communities
- British Standards Institute
- DKE/DIN German standards
- Others: IEC, ANSI, CEN/CENELEC, ETSI, etc.





## Integrated Digital Built Environment

- Interoperation across the AEC / Civil / Geospatial domains
  - Building Information Modeling (BIM)
  - Infrastructure data management
  - 3D City Models
  - 3D Visualization and Portrayal Services
  - Location Services
  - Indoor Location / Navigation



Adapted from buildingSmart Alliance presentation





# Share use cases because one use case satisfies another...















## What standards are available?





## Some of the standards you need

- Information Models/Encodings
  - Geospatial Data
    - Simple Features
    - Coverages
    - CityGML
    - IndoorGML
  - Dynamic Data
    - Moving Features
  - Sensor Web Data
    - Observation and Measurement
    - Sensor Model Language
    - WaterML
- Web Service Interfaces
  - Sensor Observation Services
  - Sensor Planning Services
- RESTful API
  - SensorThings API





Room 2

Room 3b





Making location count



#### Geometry matters









#### Position matters





#### CityGML



Source: http://www1.nyc.gov/site/doitt/initiatives/3d-building.page



CityGML models for 3D visualization <u>and</u> analysis based on semantics

- Urban Planning / Operations
- Emergency Mgt / Response
- Transportation / Routing / Logistics
- Indoor navigation
- Retail Site analysis
- Sustainable / Green
  Communities
- City Services Management
- Noise abatement
- Telecommunications placement
- Many other uses...



Source: Singapore Land Authority, and Geospatial Media



#### IndoorGML



http://www.opengeospatial.org/projects/groups/indoorgmlswg





#### Open GeoSMS



Open GeoSMS Disaster Management Platform Courtesy: GeoThings





#### Geospatial User Feedback



**OGC**<sup>®</sup> Making location count.



## More than standards...





- As much as standards, we need guidance
  - Architectures
  - Best Practices to share
  - Forums like this to discuss
  - Standards to form the backbone of interoperability

Open Geospatial Consortium
Submission Date: 2014-11-26
Approval Date: 2014-12-05
Publication Date: 2015-01-21
External identifier of this OGC® document: http://www.opengis.net/doc/WP/smart-cities-sif
Internal reference number of this OGC <sup>®</sup> document: 14-115
Category: OGC <sup>®</sup> White Paper
Editor: George Percival

#### OGC Smart Cities Spatial Information Framework

Copyright notice

#### Warning

This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is an OGC White Paper and is therefore not an official position of the OGC membership. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referenced to as an OGC Standard. Further, an OGC White Paper should not be referenced as required or mandatory technology in procurements.

1

Occument type: OGC<sup>®</sup> White Paper Occument stage: Approved for Public Release Occument language: English

Copyright © 2015 Open Geospatial Consortium





#### Spatial Smart City Enterprise Components Based on ITU Focus Group on Smart Sustainable Cities



Making location count



### **OGC Services Architecture**





## Location services for Smart Cities

#### Citizen Services

 Location-aware municipal services using open data and standards

#### Energy and Utilities management

- Smart Energy
- Smart Water Management

#### Disaster and Emergency Response

Common Operational Picture

#### Urban Maps

- 3D City Models
- Indoor Venue Maps
- Interoperability with BIM

#### Sensor Webs

 Situational awareness from fusion of sensor observations





Source; Thomas Kolbe, Berlin TU





#### Thank you

#### Scott Simmons

#### ssimmons@opengeospatial.org



